

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/699,559	MYERS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724	

All Participants:

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(2) Gerald D. Malpass.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 October 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 10, and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend claims 1, 10, and 13, and the specification as shown in the Examiner's Amendment for the sake of clarity and accuracy, in view of paragraph [0028] line 3 of the instant specification.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Peter A. Hruskoci

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)